Report on the Universal Postal Service Quality System of CTT – Correios de Portugal, S.A. for 2005, for the purpose of article 8, paragraph 7, of Law no. 102/99 of 26 July, as amended by Decree-Law no. 116/2003 of 12 June

## Considering:

- That pursuant to paragraph 7 of article 8 of Law no. 102/99 of 26 July, as amended by Decree-Law no. 116/2003 of 12 June, ICP-Autoridade Nacional de Comunicações (ICP-ANACOM), being the postal sector regulatory body, is required to ensure independently from CTT Correios de Portugal, S.A. (CTT), the universal postal provider, the monitoring of the levels of quality of service actually provided, results achieved being included in a report to be published at least once every year;
- ii) That the Universal Postal Service Quality Convention, concluded on 20 January 2004 between ICP-ANACOM and CTT, pursuant to paragraph 5 of article 8 of the above-mentioned Law no. 102/99 of 26 July, defined the quality of service indicators (QSI) to be provided by CTT in 2005;
- iii) That ICP-ANACOM undertook through its own means a monitoring action to CTT's QSI values for 2005;
- iv) The conclusions reached in the monitoring action,

ICP-ANACOM hereby declares, for the purpose of paragraph 7 of article 8 of Law no. 102/99 of 26 July, as amended by Decree-Law no. 116/2003 of 12 June, that within CTT's QSI<sup>1</sup> monitoring system for 2005:

- (i) The recalculation of QSI1, QSI2, QSI4 and QSI5 corresponded to the value notified by CTT to ICP-ANACOM, whereas for QSI3 an insignificant difference was achieved relatively to the value notified by CTT to ICP-ANACOM (93.03% and 93.1% respectively). Without prejudice, as far as QSI1 to QSI5 are concerned, it is not possible to deliver an opinion on the validity of values notified by CTT to ICP-ANACOM, as CTT no longer had any physical medium relatively to the mail items tested;
- (ii) As regards QSI6, QSI 9 and QSI10, no situations have been found that question the validity of values notified by CTT to ICP-ANACOM. Without prejudice, for QSI6 an insignificant difference was achieved relatively to the value notified by CTT to ICP-ANACOM (97.26% and 97.2% respectively);
- (iii) QSI7 and QSI8 were not monitored, as basic information for calculation is available only at the International Post Corporation (IPC), the calculation of transit time for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> QSI1 - Transit time for Non-Priority Mail (D+3);

QSI2 - Transit time for Priority Mail- Mainland (D+1);

QSI3 - Transit time for Priority Mail-CAM (D+2);

QSI4 – Non-priority mail not delivered within 15 working days (per one thousand letters);

QSI5 - Priority mail not delivered within 10 working days (per one thousand letters);

QSI6 - Transit time for Newspapers and Periodicals (D+3);

QSI7 - Transit time for Intra-community Cross-border Mail (D+3);

QSI8 – Transit time for Intra-community Cross-border Mail (D+5);

QSI9 - Transit time for Non-priority Parcels (D+3);

QSI10 – Waiting time at Post Establishments (rate of events up to 10 minutes).

- intra-community cross-border mail being monitored through the  $\mathsf{UNEX}^2$  independent measuring system;
- (iv) This statement is without prejudice to recommendations and determinations made by this Authority following audits to CTT's universal postal service quality system, especially those ongoing for 2006 and 2007.

<sup>2</sup> See <a href="http://www.ipc.be/index.php?option=com">http://www.ipc.be/index.php?option=com</a> content&task=view&id=43&Itemid=152.